

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of VirginiaCLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT
AT DANVILLE, VA
FILED
for Roanoke
APR - 1 2008United States of America
v.
Carroll Eugene DodsonJOHN F. CORCORAN, CLERK
BY: *W. Hupp*
DEPUTY CLERKDate of Previous Judgment: June 15, 2000
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)Case No: 7:94CR40106-001USM No: 04906-084

Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☐ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☒ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 524 months is reduced to 456 months.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: 42 Amended Offense Level: 40
Criminal History Category: I Criminal History Category: I
Previous Guideline Range: 360 to Life months Amended Guideline Range: 292 to 365 months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
☒ Other (explain):
The original sentence of 524 months was 164 months greater than the previous guideline minimum. The new term of 456 months is 164 months greater than the amended guideline minimum. I recognize that this is greater than the amended guideline maximum. The sentence reduction is entirely at my discretion. A 68 month reduction is appropriate, and the amended sentence is warranted for the offenses committed here.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Defendant's objection of 3-31-08 and Plaintiff's objection of 4/1/08 are overruled. As to Defendant's objection, the reduction of the previous sentence is not a resentencing and Defendant is not entitled to appointed counsel, nor does the court reexamine the original sentence in light of intervening court decisions.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 6/15/2000 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 4-1-08

Effective Date: 4-14-08
(if different from order date)

Jackson L. Kiser
Judge's signature
Jackson Kiser, Senior United States District Judge
Printed name and title